```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
                       EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
 2
                              SOUTHERN DIVISION
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                       Plaintiff,
 5
     VS.
     D-1 DR. RAJENDRA BOTHRA
                                       Case No. 18-20800
 6
     D-3 DR. GANIU EDU
                                       Hon. Stephen J. Murphy, III
 7
     D-4 DR. DAVID LEWIS
     D-5 DR. CHRISTOPHER RUSSO,
 8
                       Defendants.
 9
                      JURY TRIAL EXCERPT: VOLUME 2
10
               BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEPHEN J. MURPHY, III
11
                        United States District Judge
                  Theodore Levin United States Courthouse
12
                        231 West Lafayette Boulevard
                          Detroit, Michigan 48226
13
                          Wednesday, May 18, 2022
14
     APPEARANCES:
15
     For the Plaintiff
                                 BRANDY R. McMILLION
16
     United States of America:
                                 BRANDON C. HELMS
                                  U.S. Attorney's Office
                                  211 W. Fort Street
17
                                  Suite 2001
                                  Detroit, Michigan 48226
18
                                  313-226-9622
19
     For the Defendant
                                 ARTHUR J. WEISS
20
     D-1 Dr. Rajendra Bothra:
                                  30445 Northwestern Highway
                                  Suite 225
21
                                  Farmington Hills, Michigan 48334
                                  248-855-5888
22
23
                                  (Appearances continued next page)
2.4
25
```

1	APPEARANCES: Continued		
2	For the Defendant ALAN T. ROGALSKI		
3	D-1 Dr. Rajendra Bothra: Warner, Norcross & Judd LLP 2000 Town Center Suite 2700		
4	Sulte 2700 Southfield, Michigan 48075 248-784-5055		
5	For the Defendant ROBERT S. HARRISON		
6	D-3 Dr. Ganiu Edu: ROBERT S. HARRISON Robert Harrison & Associates 40950 Woodward Avenue		
7	Suite 100 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304		
8	248-283-1600		
9	For the Defendant RONALD WILLIAM CHAPMAN, II D-4 Dr. Davis Lewis: Chapman Law Group		
10	1441 West Long Lake Road Suite 310		
11	Troy, Michigan 48098 248-644-6326		
12	JEFFREY G. COLLINS		
13	Collins & Collins, P.C. 1323 Broadway		
14	Suite 800 Detroit, Michigan 48226		
15	313-963-2303		
16	For the Defendant LAURENCE H. MARGOLIS D-5 Dr. Christopher Margolis Law Firm		
17	Russo: 214 South Main Street Suite 202		
18	Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104 734-994-9590		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	To obtain a certified copy of this transcript, contact:		
24	Linda M. Cavanagh, CSR-0131, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC Official Court Reporter		
25	(313) 234-2616 • www.transcriptorders.com		

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		<u>Page</u>
3	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. MARGOLIS	4
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
16		d <u>Received</u>
17	NONE	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
Detroit, Michigan
 1
 2
              Wednesday, May 18, 2022
 3
               (Proceedings in progress at 10:37 a.m., all parties
 4
              present, jury present)
 5
              THE COURT: Mr. Margolis on behalf of Dr. Russo will
 6
 7
     go next. Go right ahead.
              MR. MARGOLIS: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
 8
 9
     My name's Larry Margolis and it's my privilege to represent Dr.
10
     Christopher Ross Russo.
               I'm a little more old school than Mr. Chapman and Mr.
11
     Helms so I'll be speaking from the podium, which I believe
12
13
     Ms. -- Madame Court Reporter's shaking her head, says she
14
     appreciates.
               I want to give you a little background to start about
15
     Dr. Russo. Christopher Russo is 53 years old. He grew up --
16
     he was born on a vacation in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, but he ended
17
     up growing up since the age of -- of one pretty much in Ann
18
19
     Arbor, Michigan.
20
              His father, his -- his biological father I should
     say, is a neurosurgeon. His mother is a registered nurse
21
     originally, and then she put herself through dental school and
22
23
     obtained her DDS. They're in the courtroom today. That's the
     stepfather as well is here in support.
24
               In 1976, shortly after Chris's bio -- biological
25
```

father finished his -- his training and -- and fellowship at the University of Michigan in -- in neurology and he was just about to start his -- going to private practice, Chris's dad abruptly left the family. His departure left Chris, his sister Kathy -- he has a younger sister Kathy, she's a nurse, they're all in health care -- his departure left Chris, Kathy and Bonnie on their to fend for themselves. Chris was deeply wounded. He was six or seven at the time. As a result, the family, like families do when they're that way, they became very close and they remain extremely close to this day.

Like many hard-working single mothers, Chris's mom instilled in him a strong work ethic, a determination to succeed, the desire to get the good — the best education that he could. And Chris quickly took to science. He became laser focused on going to medical school, just like his absent father. Education was paramount in the family household. So was helping and caring for others.

Chris went to the University of Michigan for undergrad. He graduated and went on to the University of Michigan Medical School where he graduated in 1994. After medical school, Chris completed a four-year residency in anesthesiology at the University of Michigan. He later completed a two-year fellowship in pain medicine and then completed post-fellowship training in interventional pain medicine at the distinguished Moffett Cancer Center in South

Florida. He is also ACGME accredited. He has 16 years of education to practice pain. He has a passion for treating pain and he is highly trained in advanced interventional pain management.

But how does someone like Dr. Russo treat pain? What even is pain? Pain can be acute or it can be chronic, right? Acute pain is sudden, sharp pain. It doesn't last that long. It goes away and hopefully it doesn't come back. Chronic pain is different. Chronic pain is longstanding, beyond — lasting beyond the usual recovery periods, something we often have to try and live with, advanced pain medicine.

This case involves chronic pain. The patients the government will introduce you to either did suffer from chronic pain or claimed to suffer from chronic pain. They came to the Pain Center for help with their pain, their chronic pain.

Diagnosing and treating chronic pain is complicated, as my young but distinguished co-counsel showed you in some of the treatments and words that he spoke. I guess I'll try to dumb it down for us, for me.

Where in the body does the pain originate from? What causes pain? How can we best treat it? Once treated, how long will the pain subside? Does it go away forever? When will it come back? You will see that pain therapy lessens the pain but it doesn't get rid of it entirely. We all have a different physical etiology, a different biological makeup. What may

```
work for you may not work for you -- or it may not work for me. We all have different thresholds for pain.
```

Most importantly, pain is subjective. Pain is personal to the one feeling it. There is no pain meter, no blood pressure valve we can put on to measure our pain. We only know because we're told about it. We have to rely on another person's report of their pain to understand what state of pain they're actually in. Pain is hidden. We can see people limp, we see them on crutches or -- or -- or with a cane, but we still don't know how they're feeling, right? We can't. We have to take their word for it. We have to trust them.

Advanced pain doctors like Dr. Russo are no different; they have to trust too. There is nothing wrong with a doctor trusting their patient. They have to, that's what they do, but we'll talk more about that in a little bit.

Now, the government has shown you some photographs. They may offer you some videos too. You will hear about a busy waiting room or a parking lot that was full. First, I want to say this about that. The parking lot was small and Dr. Bothra had over 60 people working for him at the Pain Center. The Pain Center itself was rather small, and I believe one of my distinguished co-counsels will show you the layout of the place. There were other businesses there too.

Most importantly, more importantly, I want you to

```
remember this point: pain doesn't discriminate. Millions and millions of Americans from all walks of life suffer chronic pain, all races, all ethnicities, all genders. Many are lower income.
```

It is a sad but true fact of our health care system that millions and millions of Americans don't have health care insurance or underinsured. This group, especially in our most disadvantages — disadvantaged communities, are often low income. Medicaid is intended to cover these individuals. This group deserves access to pain specialists, just like everybody else, just like people with quality coverage. Many medical practices refuse to take Medicaid, they refuse to serve them at all. Those without private insurance, the uninsured folks, there are millions of them. They are relegated to providers that serve low or lower income populations that take Medicaid. Of course a specialized pain practice in a busy urban area is going to be busy, of course, in a building that size, in a parking lot like that, of course.

The government will try to use that fact that the Pain Center was crowded or busy against us. Don't let them. These are real people who came to the pain clinic with real pain and real reports of pain were made. Most were already receiving pain medication. Most were already on long-term opioid therapy.

It is also true that chronic pain patients are often

```
higher risks and have preexisting problems, are
multisymptomatic, present with psychosocial issues. They still
deserve care.

There is an unconscious or implicit bias toward
chronic pain patients, especially toward those in our most
disadvantaged communities. Please take note of this implicit
bias as you hear the testimony when you judge the facts of this
case. Resist stereotypes of the chronic pain patient. A pain
doctor has to, Dr. Russo has to, so should you.
```

A trust relationship. The doctor/patient relationship begins with trust. Pain specialists too begin their relationships by trusting the patient; they have to.

Doctors trust us when they tell them our problems. Strike that. Doctors trust us when we tell them our pain symptoms.

We in turn trust doctors when it comes to medical advice. They went to med school. In Dr. Russo's case, fellowship and post-fellowship training in diagnostic and pain procedures.

But doctors don't know everything. They can be wrong. If we're not happy with the advice or treatment, we can always get a second opinion, ask another doctor, reject the advice, go back to our primary care provider who referred us to the specialist in the first instance, or we can try and see if it helps, stay and give it a chance.

The Pain Center provided elective treatment and elective pain therapies. This was not an emergency room, not

the relationship is broken.

```
an ER. They offered treatment options. That's what the Pain Center did. Not every doctor is a good fit. There's usually another doctor we can go to. If we're not happy with our present doctor, what they're doing or saying, find another one. There are even doctors that take Medicare and Medicaid. Yeah, the line, the wait might be long. That's our health care system.

The other piece to this trust relationship is the doctor's trust of the patient. If a -- if a doctor no longer trusts a patient, the doctor is entitled to end the relationship, time to end it, time to go, the trust is gone,
```

But remember as you hear the government's case, no grown person is forced to remain in a professional relationship. No adult person is forced to keep going, keep seeing a doctor again and again for years if especially they aren't getting relief for their pain.

Be highly skeptical of the government's narrative here. The majority, the vast majority of Dr. Russo's pain patients did get relief from their pain. These are adults who made their own health care decisions. The evidence will show that Dr. Russo never forced any patient to receive any medication or undergo any procedures. He never performed, the evidence will show, any procedure unnecessarily. It was all part of a treatment plan, fully legal, completely within the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
standard of care. Now, places like the Pain Center may not be
the types of places or the doctors that we or you are used to
seeing, but that doesn't make it illegal and it's not illegal.
         Follow the science. That's what my learned
co-counsel said or brother counsel said here today. Scrutinize
the government's evidence. Don't be distracted by big dollar
figures or -- or high pill counts as they will say or catch
phrases that they present to you in a vacuum without context.
         The evidence will show that Dr. Russo sought to
diagnose and provide relief for pain. It was his passion, it
was his education, and it was his specific intent when treating
these folks. That is the only reason he sits here today,
because of doing his job. He had a valid medical reason for
everything he did and the records will support that.
         How, in fact, does a pain specialist like Dr. Russo
act on that specific intent of his to treat and manage chronic
pain? I'll try to not redo what my brother counsel has already
done here, but I think it's important to emphasize because this
is -- this is complicated stuff.
         First, the doctor diagnoses the pain, try and find
out where the pain comes from, what is the underlying
condition, the cause of the pain that's shooting down my leg
or -- or hurting my back.
         To do this, and -- and it's how he is charged in some
```

of these counts, is that the government claims Dr. Russo

```
performed unnecessary facet joint injections. I think the term they're going to be spread about is facets, they call them facets. The facets is actually on the body, but the -- the -- the colloquial term in the business is facets, but we'll call them facet joint injection so we don't confuse.
```

That is going to be shown to be completely untrue. He never performed any unnecessary injections. The science will support that, the records will support that. Facets were done to verify — to seek, to verify the origin of the pain. That's what a facet injection is all about, verification. We trust what the patient says, we go verify it with the injection. It's the standard of practice. It's a standard of care. It's going on all over the country. It's legal and Medicare/Medicaid approved as well, reimbursed by all insurance companies.

If the pain subsides, then the doctor has diagnosed where the pain came from. If not, the doctor should try a different methodology. That's the standard of practice. That's why these folks came to the pain clinic in the first instance. They were in pain. They were in chronic pain. They all had records and objective evidence of their chronic pain. What is a pain doctor supposed to do in that situation? What is a 16-year specialist supposed to do? Pain is subjective.

I don't know if my brother counsel mentioned this, but there are 48 different types of possible facet joint

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
injections that could be made in the body, and that's because there are 48 different types of facets: 14 facets in the neck, 24 in the -- facet joints in the thoracic spine, ten facet joints in the lumbar spine. Dr. Russo has done them all. The government is calling Dr. Russo a criminal for literally doing his job.
```

While facet joint injections are diagnostic, done to determine the origin of pain, some interventional pain procedures treat the pain. Mr. Chapman talked about radiofrequency ablation or RFA. That's done after a successful facet diagnosed the origin of the pain. RFA disables, it doesn't destroy. RFA, radiofrequency ablation, disables the nerve endings that transmit the pain signals. It lasts about six months to a year depending on the person, but the pain comes back. RFAs work and are done because they give extended relief to the area causing the pain. RFA is completely legal, a completely approved procedure like a facet joint injection, reimbursed by Medicare and Medicaid. It's what a pain specialist does. Dr. Russo is being charged for doing an RFA. They say it's unnecessary. Their records are going to debunk that. It's what a pain specialist does. Facet joint injections diagnose, RFAs treat.

But an RFA won't lessen pain in the other areas of the body. It only treats the particular area that the facet diagnosed, the -- the facet injection diagnosed. Often these

patients are multisymptomatic: they have neck pain, they have back pain, they have leg pain, they have ankle pain.

The RFA Dr. Russo is charged for doing was warranted by the workup, the history and physical of the patient and the symptoms. You can follow the science; Dr. Russo always did.

There's a host of other specialized pain procedures that Dr. Russo performed. He's well versed in them all and regularly did them while at the Pain Center.

Government claims that every patient had the same treatment plan, the same treatment protocol. That's part of their — their narrative here, their — their false narrative.

The evidence will show that is false. Treatment plans varied from patient to patient. Mr. Chapman spoke about it with Dr. Lewis. It's the same thing with Dr. Russo. They were

particularized to that patient's needs.

Yes, some treatment plans may look similar. Lower back pain is quite common, the most common reason people present to a pain clinic such as the Pain Center. A similar treatment plan for a similarly situated patient does not show fraud, does not show fraud. It shows consistent and sound practices to treat a common chronic pain condition.

Government has mentioned the Pain Center prescribed back braces or durable medical equipment. Back braces are simply another option in the pain specialist's treatment arsenal to treat back pain. Occasionally Dr. Russo provided

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
There's nothing criminal there. Dr. Russo always
back braces.
gave his patients a choice and the patient was always able to
refuse. Pain is complicated. The relief of pain is
complicated. A back brace may help you but not me. Why should
a pain doctor not offer someone with back pain a back brace?
One of the lawyers in the courtroom is wearing a back brace.
There is absolutely nothing wrong with a pain doctor offering a
patient a back brace for back pain.
         Importantly, Dr. Russo had no financial incentive to
order back braces. He received no bonus, no extra pay.
didn't really have any financial incentive to order any of the
ancillary services in this matter: back braces, physical
therapy, drug testing. He didn't get extra money for that.
There was no reason that he would say, "Oh, just hand them out,
I'm gonna get -- gonna get rich from this." No.
                                                 The pay was
the same for him. He did it because there was a medical reason
to do it or he didn't do it at all. That's what's going on
with Dr. Russo in his care. Prescribing a back brace is
100 percent within the standard of care for treating back pain.
         We've talked a bit about procedures that Dr. Russo
would perform. Some are diagnostics, the facets; some are
therapeutic, RFA, radiofrequency ablation. Now let's talk a
little bit about prescriptions. The government makes great hay
with the fact that pain specialists working at a busy pain
clinic prescribed a large number of controlled substances over
```

```
the years.
```

First a bit of history on the treatment of pain and other ailments by opioids and opioid derivatives. Much of this you guys like -- probably likely know but I think it's worth stating for context. Opium and opium derivatives have been used for thousands of years. 8000-year-old archeologists -- 8000-year-old clay tablets were found by archeologists that had prescriptions for opium written on them. Inscribed in the clay in 8000-year-old tablets was a prescription for opium. Opium was used by the ancient Greeks, Romans, Egyptians, people in the Middle Ages, all through Renaissance time in -- in Europe.

In the early U.S. opium was approved medication for all sorts of maladies. Doctors prescribed low doses for children. It was a panacea for all sorts of ailments. One of our Founding Fathers, Thomas Jefferson, who was skeptical of medical treatments of his day, took opium for his chronic dysentery, and made him feel so much better he ended up growing poppies on his Monticello estate.

Morphine was sold over the counter in soothing syrups for fussy children.

THE COURT: Let's stick with the --

MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: -- facts -- facts of the case there, Mr.

Margolis. Go right ahead.

MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you.

Today we don't treat cholera, dysentery, smallpox with opium. It does, however, remain an important and approved treatment protocol, treatment therapy for chronic pain. Opioid therapy, long-term opioid therapy is an effective tool to lessen pain. It is within the standard of care for doctors to prescribe, including chronic pain patients.

But treatment by opioids doesn't cure the problem. The effects wear off, the pain comes back. Controlled substances treat, they don't cure. The goal, as Mr. Chapman said, of interventional pain medicine is to intervene, where's the pain come from, to use every available tool in the modern medical arsenal to find the pain, relieve the pain, to attempt a myriad of treatments, to manage the pain. That's the goal, that's the intent here.

It should not -- should not surprise us that a pain specialist prescribes medication that has been used for thousands of years. That's why the primary care provider and other doctors refer their chronic pain patients to places like the Pain Center: to manage pain in a multidisciplinary fashion; to reduce dependence on opioid therapy with interventional pain procedures; to lower the doses; to provide other treatment options: physical therapy, back braces, facets, RFA, Rhizotomy, nerve blocks, trigger -- trigger point injections. Find the cause of the pain, treat the source, manage the symptoms of pain.

There is no one perfect option. There is no one-size-fits-all. Pain specialists don't just do opioid therapy. That's not why they're coming. That's not why they're sent there. They don't just do injections. Trying a course of both is not illegal. It is not outside the standard of care. It is sound medical practice.

That's why it's the government's theory that these patients were forced or somehow unlawfully induced to -- to -- to do this to get that. The government will never admit to you that it's perfectly legal to do both, it's perfectly legal to do both. It's called following a care plan or a plan of care. I'll say it both ways. It's perfectly legal, wholly in the standard of practice, accepted and undertaken every day in every state in our country. It's going on right now. Be highly skeptical of the government's narrative. Follow the science. Don't be fooled by big numbers of controlled substances prescribed over the course of years or high dollar figures.

The Pain Center was a multidisciplinary practice with over 60 employees. It had six doctors, a psychiatrist, a chiropractor, addictionologist, physical therapist, RNs, MAs, PAs and a large administrative staff. That's a lot of overhead. It was situated in an urban community filled with a large number of disadvantaged and uninsured citizens, all who claimed to suffer from chronic pain, all who had their chronic

pain verified.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The government wants you to disregard all that, all those people working there, working for the pain patients, and they'll say, "Look at this, look at the prescriptions, look at all the money made." I'm asking you all to follow the science, look at the records, look at the charge, listen to the witness describe the pain they feel, the pain they've had. That's what Dr. Russo did. He treated his patients in good faith, exactly as he was educated and trained to do, every one of them.

Speaking of science, I want another -- make -- I want to make another point that may come up during this trial. Ιt is true that the Pain Center didn't practice holistic medicine. Nothing in the law you will hear, the CDC guidelines or any local coverage determinations from any of the insurance regulators, nothing requires doctors, pain doctors or any doctor for that matter to practice holistic or spiritual healing to heal pain. Holistic and spiritual healing is one way that people treat a variety of ailments. Dr. Russo didn't practice holistic medicine. He was not a spiritual healer. He practiced the way he was taught at the University of Michigan Medical School, during his residencies, his fellowship, his post-fellowship accreditation. New Age medicine has its place, has its place in treating pain, but it is unlikely to relieve the chronic pain caused from metal screws in your back or neck.

I want to talk a little bit about how Dr. Russo came

```
to be working at the Pain Center with the other folks here.

One answer: Dr. Ron Kufner. Government spoke briefly about Dr. Kufner. Dr. Russo and Dr. Kufner trained together years ago at the Moffett Cancer Center in South Florida. They were there working on treating cancer pain patients. They became friends, stayed close over the ensuing years, kept in touch, how you doing, where you working, that kind of thing.

In May of 2014 Dr. Kufner started working with Dr. Bothra at the Pain Center. At this time Chris was at a private clinic in Grand Rapids. Chris thought highly of Dr. Kufner's skills. He trusted him as a doctor to inject people. Shortly after Kufner joined Dr. Bothra, he tried to get his friend Chris, "Come on over, join me, I have a new job. Dr. Bothra's
```

trying to enlarge his practice. We -- we could use someone with your skill set." Kufner made a real hard sell. This is

2014. Kufner made a real hard sell, and Chris agreed to go out

and visit, check the place out.

Chris traveled from Grand Rapids to Detroit to -- to see and check out the practice and meet Dr. Bothra. This was the first time Dr. Russo had met Dr. Bothra, and Dr. Bothra made quite an impression on him. He had a large staff. He had photographs of himself with Mother Teresa shaking hands, some high-level figures in the country and outside the country. It was -- it was a very impressive place. Dr. Bothra was an impressive man to Dr. Russo.

And Dr. Bothra spoke about at this time that he wanted to expand. He -- he planned on expanding the place and -- and adding a surgical center, and that was one of the reasons he was so interested in -- in bringing Dr. Russo over, and Dr. Kufner knew this and they talked about it. Again, he liked the fact of -- of -- of Dr. Russo's training, his accreditation.

A job was offered to him on the spot, 2014.

Government's indictment is 2013. Christopher was in Grand

Rapids still in 2013. He was in Grand Rapids in 2014. He was
in Grand Rapids in 2015. He was in Grand Rapids up until June
of 2016.

So anyway, back to Kufner. Chris didn't take the job. He didn't love where he was working in Grand Rapids but he wasn't ready to leave either. He had just bought his first condominium, his first property at the age of 46. He had a girlfriend, he had a bunch of friends there. He -- he -- he liked being by the lake. Yeah, his family was in -- in this part of the state in Southeastern Michigan. He's a huge Lions fan, Tigers fan. And he spent a year doing his -- his rounds during his residency or rotation in downtown Detroit and he liked that too. So he was a little torn but he just wasn't ready. So he said to -- to his buddy Ron, he said, "Ron, thanks but no thanks. I think I'm going to stay put. I'll see if this works out at my place in Grand Rapids."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Ron Kufner was persistent. He continued to recruit
Chris, tried to get him to come on over. Two years later,
we're in 2016 now, Kufner contacted Dr. Russo and -- and --
and -- and I don't want to say begged, but he urged him to meet
with Dr. Bothra's business manager, a man named James Jayakar.
Now, Russo, Dr. Russo didn't know Mr. Jayakar but Dr. Kufner
did well and Dr. Kufner vouched for him and he -- he said,
"Just meet him, he -- he wants to -- he wants to talk with you
and -- and -- and really, really put a hard sale on you."
         And Dr. Russo said, "Okay. But, you know, I -- I --
I drove down there and I -- I've seen the place and I'm -- you
know, I -- I -- I don't want to do that again." And Dr. Kufner
said, "Don't worry, he wants to take you out to dinner. He's
going to drive out to Grand Rapids from Detroit or -- or
where -- in the metro area to see you and talk to you about
this."
         So that's what happened. Dr. or Mr. Jayakar drove
across the state, took Dr. Russo out for a fancy dinner. At
the dinner Dr. Russo accepted Dr. Bothra's offer. This was in
late May or maybe the first part of June. He started working
that next week, June 6th of 2016. That's the date Dr. Russo
joined Dr. Bothra's practice at the Pain Center.
         And for the next year and a half Dr. Russo and Dr.
Kufner both worked at the Pain Center together. Dr. Russo
signed on as an independent contractor, and as promised by Dr.
```

```
Kufner, Jayakar and Bothra when he had spoken to him before, Dr. Russo was allowed to practice in his own way, under the Pain Center umbrella, of course, but his own way to practice.
```

environment. It was much busier, more crowded than the place he had worked at in Grand Rapids, very fast paced. Took him a minute to get up to speed. And some of the patients could be challenging, of course. And Dr. Bothra was a very hard worker, he could be demanding. But Dr. Russo was able to practice medicine the way he was trained, his own way. Dr. Bothra permitted his doctors to do that. He actually encouraged his doctors to do that.

But unlike in Grand Rapids, Dr. Russo had independence here now. He liked being considered an independent contractor. He had never been a 1099 contract employee before, his own boss. Yeah, he was responsible for paying his own taxes and he didn't like that very much, and he had to provide for his own health insurance and he didn't get a 4041k or any retirement plan from Dr. Bothra. But being a 1099 employee internally — internalized. It — it — it gave him a sense of separation, independence, the freedom to do things his own way.

He created his first LLC, his own private independent company separate from Dr. Bothra and the others. Dr. Bothra's staff, large staff that he had, did all -- handled all the

```
billings, all the business matters, licensing, credentialing,
 1
 2
     took care of his malpractice insurance, all the red tape that
     goes along with being in the practice of medicine.
 3
                          I think it's better if the jurors saw the
              THE COURT:
 4
 5
     evidence of this rather than hearing your --
 6
              MR. MARGOLIS:
                             Okay.
              THE COURT: -- testimony about it, Mr. Margolis, so
 7
 8
     if we could kind of maybe talk about what the overall evidence
 9
     is going to show in terms of relevant --
10
              MR. MARGOLIS:
                             That's fine.
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
11
12
              MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you, Judge.
              The evidence is going to show that Dr. Russo's good
13
14
     friend, Ron Kufner, started to have problems with Dr. Bothra,
     and Chris was aware of this. This is -- I think, I believe the
15
16
     government will show or we will show, it'll be part of this
     case, that it was in 2017 that Dr. Kufner started talking about
17
     leaving Dr. Bothra, and Chris knew this. He had spoken to --
18
19
     to Dr. Kufner.
                     They were -- they were good friends.
20
     Kufner was the one who got him there, remember. And he never
21
     told him why. Chris is like, "What's going on? Why -- what's
22
     going on with you and -- and -- and Dr. Bothra?" And Dr.
23
     Kufner would tell Chris, "Well, it's just between him and I.
     It's a business matter. It's about money that's owed.
24
25
     doesn't concern you. It doesn't concern you. It's about me
```

```
and Dr. Bothra."
 1
 2
               So Chris knew Kufner may be leaving. What Dr. Russo
     didn't know is that Dr. Kufner's leaving could impact him.
 3
                                                                  And
     Dr. Russo didn't know why Kufner was specifically leaving.
 4
                                                                  Dr.
     Kufner never told Chris. Dr. Kufner never told Chris that he
 5
     was making secret recordings and working with the federal
 6
     government to take down the whole practice and Chris too.
 7
                                                                 He
 8
     failed to mention that fact when he was speaking to his old
 9
     friend. Dr. Kufner had convinced Chris to uproot his life,
10
     change his career and move from the other side of the state,
     and he secretly plotted to take down the practice.
11
12
              Dr. Kufner will come before you soon to testify in
13
     this case against his old friend, Dr. Russo. When Mr. Kufner
14
     now does, please remember his secret lawsuit that he prepared
15
     and didn't tell Dr. Russo or anybody at the practice about
     while he was working there. Please remember his deception to
16
17
     his old friend, his self-interest, and most importantly, please
     remember the future sentencing he will be facing in this very
18
19
     courtroom for the crimes he committed.
20
              Dr. Kufner's betrayal of Dr. Russo is the saddest
21
     aspect of this case. His testimony against his old friend will
22
     be a shameful --
23
              THE COURT: Argumentive --
```

THE COURT: -- Mr. Margolis. Go ahead please.

MR. MARGOLIS: Sorry, Judge.

24

```
MR. MARGOLIS: The other Pain Center doctor who will testify for the government is Dr. Backos. We don't have much to say about Dr. Backos because his practice was entirely separate from Chris's, from Dr. Russo's.
```

And I -- I should mention I -- I -- I skipped ahead about Dr. Kufner. Dr. Kufner worked on his own too. He was at Eastpointe. That was five miles from where Dr. Russo worked. They had separate practices. Kufner was his own man, just like Dr. Russo, but Kufner was able to do it out of view. That's what was going on at Eastpointe with Dr. Kufner. That's why you will see, you will hear that he took the plea of guilty that he did.

Back to Dr. Backos. Dr. Backos, like Kufner, saw his own patients. Unlike Dr. Russo, Dr. Backos was not a pain specialist. He was an addictionologist.

One important point that I want you to remember about Backos, Backos was with Dr. Bothra since 2012. Dr. Russo arrived in 2016. Dr. Backos when he testifies you will hear pled guilty to crime, a crime, not crimes he committed, but a independent crime he committed in 2014, two years before, over two years before Dr. Russo arrived. Dr. Backos pled to unlawfully prescribing one individual count, not conspiracy, one individual count of unlawfully prescribing narcotics or controlled substances.

Backos, the evidence will show, was also known to

```
prescribe in high doses like Dr. Kufner. It is not surprising he pleaded guilty to doing so too. We don't expect Dr. Backos to offer much evidence relevant to Dr. Russo notwithstanding his incentive to avoid a lengthy prison sentence.
```

Dr. Kufner and Dr. Backos are not the only doctors the government will use to try and meet its heavy burden in this case. There will be two others, and I won't spend much time on them. First is Dr. Patel. I believe they mentioned Dr. Patel in their opening statement. Fresh out of -- fresh out of his residency when he joined the Pain Center, he signed on at a higher pay than Dr. Russo and I believe most of the others. Most importantly, he had never worked -- and they admitted this or they said it as well I believe -- Patel had never worked in a private practice before.

What they didn't mention and I believe the evidence will show is that Patel favors a holistic or New Age approach to the treatment of chronic pain. Nothing's wrong with that, nothing is wrong with that, but I think it's important to note that his philosophy is vastly different than most others when it comes to treatment of chronic pain.

Some similarities Patel has with Kufner. Patel, like Kufner, the evidence will show, filed a secret lawsuit against Dr. Bothra, the Pain Center and others. Dr. Kufner's secret lawsuit, and I -- I skipped over this by accident, didn't -- wasn't brought against Dr. Russo. He only sued Dr. Bothra and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
the Pain Center. Dr. Patel brought his lawsuit against
everybody, which is very strange because he didn't even really
know Dr. Russo. Patel never -- the evidence will show Patel
never shadowed Dr. Russo. Patel was never trained by Dr.
Russo. Dr. Patel never sat in on any meeting or patient
consult with Dr. Russo.
                        The evidence will show Dr. Patel was
at the Pain Center for a very short time and he immediately
started capitalizing on it.
         But Patel, the evidence will show, and all his
contriving also provides an important truth, an important fact
for your jury's consideration, for your all's consideration of
the evidence, he provides a telling admission. First a little
background that the evidence will show. Patel called the
government, I believe Mr. Helms said, on his first day of work
or in the first week of work he called the government to -- to
say, "What's -- this is weird. What's going on here?"
         Soon after, he starts recording his interactions with
staff and the doctors. He starts recording his interactions
with patients, confidential communications, mind you. He stays
in contact with the government over the next days and weeks.
The evidence will show he communicates with the FBI via phone,
via -- via text, phone, and plans a meeting.
         But then Dr. Patel has a change of heart. He cancels
an upcoming meeting with the FBI. "I was wrong. The doctors
at the Pain Center can practice independently, can practice in
```

```
their own fashion. Bothra allows for it."
 1
 2
              MR. HELMS: Your Honor, I'm -- I'm sorry. I have to
              This is -- this is misstating any evidence that will
 3
     object.
     come in in this case.
 4
              THE COURT: Well, I don't know, I don't know.
 5
     says that it's going to be the evidence in the case, and he's
 6
     leading the jury through the evidence that he expects it to
 7
 8
     show. If it doesn't, the jury will note that and act
 9
     accordingly. So that's overruled.
10
              Let's continue.
              MR. MARGOLIS: Thank you, Judge.
11
12
              That's what we expect the evidence to show and it's
13
     what was fact: the doctors at the clinic were independent, able
     to use their own judgment. This is what their star witness
14
     advised we believe the evidence will show.
15
16
              He made this call to the FBI, the evidence will show,
     while he was working with them. This fact creates a gaping
17
     hole in the government's narrative. Independent judgment in
18
19
     the treatment of patients is the opposite of a conspiracy, and
20
     it was said, if it was said, while the man was working for the
21
     government, so he had no reason to lie. Remember that
22
     admission when he testifies.
              The last doctor to testify for the government will be
23
     Dr. Mehta. Dr. Mehta was hired by the prosecutor years after
24
25
     all the facts in this case took place. The government is
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
paying to fly him in from New York City and has a specially
date set for him. Mehta, like Patel -- Dr. Mehta works in a
large hospital. He has no experience, no great experience in
private practice I don't believe, let alone one in a community
like the Pain Center served.
         Dr. Mehta will offer his opinion about Dr.'s Russo --
Dr. Russo's treatment of two patients named in the indictment,
MM and -- and DS. Those counts involving two patients are the
only specific allegations the government makes against Chris in
the entire 38-page, 57-count indictment. Dr. Mehta will not
offer any competent or convincing evidence relative to Dr.
Russo's care of those two patients. Nothing Mehta says
specific to Dr. Russo will show any crime.
         We didn't have to travel to New York City to find our
        Ours is local, a man named Anthony Chiodo.
Anthony Chiodo will come and testify to his opinions about Dr.
Russo's care of those two patients. Dr. Chiodo has extensive
experience and impeccable qualifications, U of M professor
who's going to put his hard-earned reputation on the line in
front of you all. He will tell you Dr. Russo's care and those
only two specific patients in the charging document was sound,
was reasonable within the standard of practice.
         Importantly, Dr. Chiodo will emphasize the role of
the advanced pain practitioner relative to utilizing as many
effective pain strategies as possible to mitigate the high --
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
the need for high doses of pain medication.
                                            That's the reason
you do the various strategies. You try the different
treatments. The government is attacking these folks, Dr.
Russo, this clinic, for trying different treatment, trying
different strategies. Dr. Chiodo will debunk that false
narrative.
         I've spoken too long. I will not discuss much more
about what we expect the other patients of the pain clinic to
testify to. I will offer this fact about the patient
witnesses, and I believe Mr. Chapman also did, so we're just
reemphasizing. Every one of them came to the Pain Center --
every one of them that came to the Pain Center had chronic
pain.
         Every patient witness Dr. Russo saw had their chronic
pain verified.
         Every patient who received controlled substances from
Dr. Russo was in pain and claimed to be in pain.
         Every patient signed an opioid agreement.
Chapman put that up on the screen. That's the procedure,
that's the practice.
         Most every patient already had a long history of
opioid therapy.
         Every patient had their MAPS run. I don't believe
that has been mentioned yet, but MAPS is the Michigan program
to see what else this person might be taking. It's an instant
```

```
program where a doctor can ask a nurse or press a button and
see a breakdown of everything this person's been prescribed in
the last two years I believe.
```

So they didn't get their pain medication handed out to them. They had to have their MAPS run. They had an exam. They had problems that the doctor was trying to treat, every one of them, before they got their prescription.

You know who you won't see as patient witnesses?

Young people like some of you here today. It's interesting.

You won't see known drug dealers. You won't see people who obtained drugs from any other doctor or employee outside of the Pain Center or outside of the Eastpointe clinic. They went in, saw the doctor, expressed their pain, had their MAPS run, low dose opioid therapy, drug testing to ensure compliance, verify through imaging and procedures. That's the science, that's what pain specialists do. I'm just dumbing it down, they're much smarter than I am, but that's what they do. If you follow the science, the records of every patient will demonstrate Dr. Russo provided good care, sound medicine.

I will conclude -- fortunately, right? -- with a bit about your role in this trial. Now, the Court is going to instruct you on the law and it's vitally important that we follow it. As the good judge has indicated, your role pertaining to Dr. Russo is to judge him, the evidence against him individually, his case, his care of his patients, of his

```
1
     care patients, independent from the others. What, if anything,
 2
     will the actual evidence show which specifically pertains to
     Dr. Russo? What do the records show of his care?
 3
                                                        That is all
     that matters here for us. I represent Christopher Russo.
                                                                That
 4
     is all you should focus on as you think about Chris.
 5
              This is a complicated case. There are four
 6
 7
     defendants. We're dealing with unusual and arcane subject
 8
     matter. Your task is enormous. My job, in part, if you can't
 9
     tell, is to try to simplify it for you. So I will finish not
10
     by telling you what the vast and often I believe misleading
     evidence the government will present to you shows. I will
11
12
     conclude by telling you what it will not show.
              The government will present video evidence, they --
13
14
     they spoke about it in their opening, to try and meet their
15
     heavy burden in this case. There will be --
16
              THE COURT: Mr. -- Mr. Margolis, this is like --
     you're -- you're on to like 50 minutes here.
17
              MR. MARGOLIS: I'm --
18
19
              THE COURT: Let's wrap it up please.
              MR. MARGOLIS: I'm wrapping it up, Your Honor.
20
21
              THE COURT: Come on now.
              MR. MARGOLIS: I'm really almost done.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                         Yeah. Come on now.
              MR. MARGOLIS: There will be no video evidence of Dr.
24
     Russo in this case.
25
```

The government spoke about audio recordings that it wants to present, that it will present to try to meet their high burden. There will be no audio recordings of Dr. Russo in this case.

The government will call dozens of witnesses in an attempt to meet their high burden. Many of them he never treated, never saw.

Your role here is to judge Dr. Russo by what they can actually prove to you that he did and prove to your satisfaction beyond a reasonable doubt. This case is going to be littered with doubt, holes gaping.

He can't be found guilty of these horrendous crimes simply because of his working at the Pain Center. They can prove he's a short-term employee, contract employee in a high-volume pain clinic who treated difficult chronic pain patients in a struggling community. That is all they'll be able to prove against Dr. Russo, and we will stipulate to those facts.

In a case of this magnitude with these horrendous crimes charged against him, you should expect your government to come with overwhelming evidence of Dr. Russo's individual criminality. They won't come close, they can't. It just didn't happen. We are here fighting for Dr. Russo 's life. He is an innocent man. Your role is to ensure justice is done by him, justice for Dr. Russo. Thank you.

```
THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Mr.
 1
     Margolis.
 2
                (Excerpt concluded at 11:33 a.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATION 2 I, Linda M. Cavanagh, Official Court Reporter of the United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, 3 appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States 4 Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 1 5 through 35 comprise a full, true and correct transcript of the 6 7 proceedings taken in the matter of United States of America vs. 8 D-1 Rajendra Bothra, D-3 Ganiu Edu, D-4 David Lewis and D-5 9 Christopher Russo, Case No. 18-20800, on Wednesday, May 18, 2022. 10 11 12 s/Linda M. Cavanagh Linda M. Cavanagh, RDR, RMR, CRR, CRC Federal Official Court Reporter 13 United States District Court 14 Eastern District of Michigan 15 16 17 18 19 Date: July 14, 2022 Detroit, Michigan 20 21 22 23 2.4